# Amorphous Silicon Thin-Film Transistors with Low-Stress Silicon Nitride for Flexible Display

<sup>1</sup>Isaac Chan, Ryan Cheng, Hua-Chi Cheng, and Cheng-Chung Lee <sup>2</sup> Ting Liu, \*Bahman Hekmatshoar, Yifei Huang, Sigurd Wagner, and James C. Sturm

<sup>1</sup>Display Technology Center (DTC), Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), Chutung, Hsinchu, Taiwan, 31040, R.O.C.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering and the Princeton Institute for the Science and Technology of Materials (PRISM), Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

\*Current Address: IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, USA

# Abstract

We have developed low-defect, low-stress plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) silicon nitride (SiN<sub>x</sub>) at 200°C. The intrinsic stress of this SiN<sub>x</sub> film is only -12.9 MPa (compressive). Coupled with the low defect (low charge trapping) characteristic of this low-stress SiN<sub>x</sub>, we are able to demonstrate amorphous silicon thin-film transistors (a-Si TFTs) with nearly threefold increase in mobility  $\mu_e$  to 0.87 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs and 50% decrease in  $V_T$  to 2.8 V, compared to a control SiN<sub>x</sub>. The low-stress a-Si TFT technology is amenable to flexible AMOLED and AMEPD display applications.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Silicon nitride (SiN<sub>x</sub>) is commonly used in thin-film transistor (TFT) backplanes as gate dielectric, isolating interlayer, and impurity barrier. Conventionally, SiNx deposited on glass at 300-350°C using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) technique offers a low charge-trapping gate dielectric interface with amorphous silicon (a-Si) for commercial TFT-LCD flat-panel displays. Recently, as flexible active-matrix displays have caught much attention, [1] the technical challenge that must be met is accommodating thin film device layers on unconventional substrates, such as plastic foils. These foils typically are compatible with process temperatures of 200 °C or below, and one seeks both mechanical reliability and electronic performance. [2] One strategy involves the deployment of a new transparent plastic with a high glass transition temperature (T<sub>g</sub>) for a 300-350°C a-Si TFT fabrication process, with a demonstrated DC current lifetime over 100 years. [3] Alternatively, H<sub>2</sub> dilution during the PECVD of both a-Si ad SiNx has improved TFT performance, due to the "in-situ" removal of weakly bonded Si atoms in a-Si during growth. [3,4] Furthermore, adding H<sub>2</sub> to the deposition of SiN<sub>x</sub> also shows evidence of reducing the electron trap density in SiN<sub>x</sub> and improving the quality of a-Si:H grown on top of it. [5,6] In this paper, we explore the latter strategy by comparing 200°C SiN<sub>x</sub> films with different H<sub>2</sub> and SiH<sub>4</sub>-to-NH<sub>3</sub> gas compositions, in terms of interface defect density and mechanical stress. Furthermore, improved a-Si TFT performance with low-stress SiNx is reported.

### EXPERIMENT

A set of 200°C PECVD  $SiN_x$  films were prepared on 4-inch ptype Si substrates for intrinsic stress measurements. "Recipe A" for 300-nm  $SiN_x$  film ( $SiN_x$ -A) corresponds to a precursor gas mixture of  $SiH_4$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $H_2$  with a 1:4:16:18 gas ratio (46%  $H_2$ dilution), resulting in an intrinsic film stress of -251.4 MPa (compressive) measured by the substrate curvature technique. "Recipe B"  $SiN_x$  ( $SiN_x$ -B) comprises  $SiH_4$ ,  $NH_3$ , and  $H_2$  with a 1:25:50 gas ratio (65%  $H_2$  dilution) and an intrinsic stress of -12.9 MPa (compressive) for a 250-nm film. Metal-Insulator-Semiconductor (MIS) capacitors (in the inset of Figure 1) were fabricated on Generation 2 (G2)  $370 \times 470 \text{ mm}^2$  glass for 100-kHz capacitive-voltage (C-V) measurements of the two SiN<sub>x</sub> films. The capacitors comprise MoW (100 nm) bottom electrode, SiN<sub>x</sub>-A (300 nm) or SiN<sub>x</sub>-B (250 nm) insulator, 90% H<sub>2</sub>-diluted a-Si (200 nm) semiconductor, n<sup>+</sup> a-Si (50 nm) ohmic contact, and Ti/Al/Ti (50/100/50 nm) top electrode. Their charge-trapping densities were deduced from the plot areas enclosed by the hystereses in C-V curves.

Back-channel-passivated (BCP) a-Si TFTs on G2 glass using  $SiN_x$ -A as gate and passivation dielectric were contrasted with those using  $SiN_x$ -B. The TFT process flow was described elsewhere [7] with the process conditions and thicknesses substituted by those described in this report.

# **TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### Intrinsic Film Stress

Mechanical stress of  $SiN_x$  is crucial for flexible display fabrication since it can induce self-roll-up of the flexible substrate, causing handling issues in manufacturing and product design.  $SiN_x$ films with high intrinsic stress are also susceptible to cracking or buckling on flexible substrate with poor thermal stability, leading to a high failure rate for the thin film devices. The intrinsic stress of  $SiN_x$ -B film is -12.9 MPa, which is only 5% that of  $SiN_x$ -A film (-251.4 MPa). Not only is the low stress of  $SiN_x$ -B film essential for ease of fabrication and design convenience for flexible displays, it also reduces excessive mechanical damages to the display with a flattened rollout. Furthermore, the low interface defect density of  $SiN_x$ -B in MIS capacitors and a-Si TFTs are discussed next.

#### *C-V Measurements*

Figure 2 illustrates the hysteresis in MIS capacitance due to charge-trapping at or near the  $SiN_x$  interface with a-Si. Capacitances for  $SiN_x$ -A and  $SiN_x$ -B are normalized to their maximum values at strong accumulation with 23.8 and 26.4 nF/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The clockwise direction of the hysteresis is indicative of negative (electron) charge trapping. Referring to the inset of Figure 1, it is possibly due to the electron transfer from a-Si accumulated at the interface to the deep traps in SiN<sub>x</sub>, causing a positive threshold voltage (V<sub>T</sub>) shift in a-Si TFT. [8] The magnitude of trapped charges (Q<sub>trap</sub>) and trapped charge density (N<sub>trap</sub>) can be estimated from the area enclosed by the hysteresis using these equations,

$$Q_{trap} \approx \int_{V_{bias}} \Delta C(V) dV , \qquad (1)$$

and, 
$$N_{trap} = Q_{trap} / q$$
, with  $q = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$  C. (2)

The trapped charge densities for  $SiN_x$ -A and  $SiN_x$ -B are  $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ and  $6.9 \times 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, a 36% lower charge trapping with the low-stress, H<sub>2</sub>-diluted SiN<sub>x</sub>. It is well known that H can passivate Si dangling bonds and promote strong Si bonds by breaking weak Si bonds and supplying the needed energy for strong bond formation. [3,4] Similarly, the hydrogen could reduce the number of trapping sites in the SiN<sub>x</sub>. [5] The effect of SiN<sub>x</sub> stress, however, is less clear. It may exert strain on a-Si at the interface, thereby breaking a certain amount of weak bonds in a-Si network without the accompanied "bond-healing" energy from H for Si bond reformation.



FIGURE 1. C-V curves for MIS capacitors with SiN<sub>x</sub>-A (high defect) and SiN<sub>x</sub>-B (low defect) films

## I-V and On-Resistance Measurements

Figure 3 compares the I-V characteristics of BCP a-Si TFTs with  $SiN_x$ -A and  $SiN_x$ -B gate dielectric, respectively. Device mobility ( $\mu_e$ ),  $V_T$ , subthreshold slope (SS), and maximum gate current at  $V_{DS}$  = 10 V for  $SiN_x$ -A devices are measured to be 0.33 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs, 5.8 V, 1.67 V/dec, and 2.3 pA, in contrast with those for  $SiN_x$ -B devices of 0.87 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs, 2.8 V, 0.63 V/dec, and 2.55 pA. These results indicate that the lower interface defect density for the  $SiN_x$ -B devices is consistent with their low charge-trapping characteristic, yielding higher  $\mu_e$ , lower  $V_T$ , steeper SS, and approximately one order of magnitude higher drive current than  $SiN_x$ -A devices in current saturation mode. Such an improvement is conducive to high brightness and contrast for current-driven display devices, e.g., organic light emitting diode (OLED).



FIGURE 2. I-V characteristics of a-Si TFTs with  $SiN_x$ -A (high defect) and  $SiN_x$ -B (low defect) gate dielectric

On-resistance (R<sub>ON</sub>) measurements for a family of TFTs with different channel lengths (L) and a fixed channel width (W) of 150  $\mu$ m are shown in Figure 4. With V<sub>GS</sub> = 40 V, the channel (R<sub>ch</sub>) and contact resistances (R<sub>DS</sub>) for SiN<sub>x</sub>-A devices are 9.4 MΩ/□ and 0.24 MΩ, as compared to those of 4.2 MΩ/□ and 0.06 MΩ for SiN<sub>x</sub>-B devices, respectively. Therefore, faster switching with shorter RC time constant for the latter is expected.



FIGURE 3. On-resistance of a-Si TFTs with  $SiN_x$ -A (high defect) and  $SiN_x$ -B (low defect) gate dielectric

# **CONCLUSIONS**

We studied the low-stress 200°C SiN<sub>x</sub> as a device dielectric layer from the standpoint of electrical stability in MIS capacitors and performance in a-Si TFTs. C-V measurements show low trapped charge density of  $6.9 \times 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> with this SiN<sub>x</sub>, which yields a device mobility of 0.87 cm<sup>2</sup>/Vs and V<sub>T</sub> of 2.8 V when incorporating in a-Si TFT as gate and passivation dielectric. The channel and contact resistances of low-stress a-Si TFTs are also halved from those for high-stress devices. Studies on the compliance and reliability of these low-stress devices on flexible PI plastic foil are currently in progress.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. C. Lee, Y. Y. Chang, H. C. Cheng, J. C. Ho, and J. L. Chen, *SID Symp. Dig. Tech. Papers*, 2010, pp. 810-813.
- [2] W. A. MacDonald, J. Mater. Chem., 14, 2004, pp. 4-10.
- [3] B. Hekmatshoar, K. Cherenack, A. Kattamis, K. Long, S. Wagner, and J.C. Sturm, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **93**, 2008, 032103.
- [4] R. A. Street, *Hydrogenated Amorphous Silicon*. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991, ch. 2, pp. 18-60.
- [5] C.S. Yang, L.L. Smith, D.B. Arthur, and G.N Parsons, J. Vac. Sci. Tech. B, 18, 2000, pp. 683-689.
- [6] B. Hekmatshoar, S. Wagner, and J. C. Sturm, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 95, 2009, 143504.
- [7] B. Hekmatshoar, K. H. Cherenack, S. Wagner, and J. C. Sturm, *IEDM Tech. Dig.*, 2008, pp. 89-92.
- [8] M. J. Powell, C. van Berkel, and J. R. Hughes, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 54, 1989, pp. 1323-1325.